



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/733,492

12/08/2000

Mo-Han Fong

NTL-3.2.170/3654(11852RMU

2393

35437

7590

08/23/2006

MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO

666 THIRD AVENUE

NEW YORK, NY 10017

EXAMINER

HARPER, KEVIN C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2616

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/733,492	FONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin C. Harper	2616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kevin C. Harper. (3) _____

(2) Boris Matvenko (Reg. No. 48,165). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 August 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rasanen (WO 99/59354).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative noted and Examiner agreed that the Rasanen reference does not provide for a distributed mode for implementing operations of a layer two protocol to deliver data to a transceiver device. The layer-2 LAC and RLP protocols (fig. 5, items 510, 511) are implemented exclusively as branch I or branch II operations. The Rasanen references does not disclose implementing only a portion of the LAC or RLP protocols.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 8/16/06
 Examiner's signature, if required